

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,971	12/27/2001	Wang Yu Lun	WANG3105	1790	
23364	7590 01/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			REAGAN, JAMES A		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ì	•		U)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N		10/026,971	LUN, WANG YU		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		James A. Reagan	3621		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
	I for Reply	\\			
TH - E - k - k - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 fifter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period raillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing paramed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 E	<u>December 2001</u> .			
2a)[		s action is non-final.			
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	⊦, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispo	sition of Claims				
4)[	$\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	1.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	•	•		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
٥)ره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applic	ation Papers				
9)[	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •		
4.45	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[	<ul> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec	cation No		
	application from the International Burea		oivod		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the centified copies not rec	eived.		
Attachn					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date		
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) 10/026,971 Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 27 December 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-19 have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eder (US 2001/0034628 A1).

**Examiner's Note**: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

10/026,971 Art Unit: 3621

#### Claims 1 and 15:

Eder, as shown, clearly discloses the following limitations:

- generating a series of web page tables, whose contents include a plurality of questions and the corresponding input fields;
- computing diagnostic data for finance items according to predetermined formulae and the data entered in the plurality of fields;
- comparing the diagnostic data for finance items with data stored in an industrial database to obtain differences between them;
- obtaining analysis opinions from the differences according to a difference correspondence table; producing a diagnosis report in web page format that includes the analysis opinions at least.

See at least Figures 1, 5a, and 5b and associated text; paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0017, 0026, 0029, 0030, 0053, 0058, 0068.

Eder does not specifically disclose web-based formatting or content. However, as shown in at least Figures 5a and 5b, Eder does disclose the Internet as an integral part of the system, essentially disclosing online content such as web pages and web site format. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include web-based data input tables and reports formatted in HTML for use in a web page because the Internet provides a cost-effective and user-friendly environment for disseminating information.

## Claims 2 and 17:

Eder discloses entering data as shown in the rejections of claims 1 and 12 above. Eder does not specifically disclose that the data entered in the plurality of fields include text data and digital data. However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well-known in the computer arts to input text and numerical data, such as is found on a keyboard.

Art Unit: 3621

## Claims 3, 10, and 16:

Eder discloses the forecast financial data as shown in the rejections of claims 1 and 12 above. Eder does not specifically disclose the diagnostic data include guaranteed interest rate, profit capabilities, gross profits, sales profits, and growth power. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the accountings arts that these ratios and indicators are commonly used to indicate relative strength and signify the financial condition of the organization.

#### Claims 4 and 5:

With regard to the limitations of:

- the industrial database stores digital reference data of finance items of various industries for comparison;
- the difference correspondence table stores the analysis opinions corresponding to different financial data;

Eder discloses databases as shown above, inherently disclosing storing information on a database.

## Claims 6, 13, and 18:

Eder discloses the forecast financial data and report as shown in the rejections of claims 1 and 12 above. Eder does not specifically disclose the digital data of the diagnosis report include the diagnostic data and differences. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the accounting arts to prepare reports featuring relevant historical information alongside forecasts and projection for the purposes of comparing and judging current financial conditions.

## Claims 7, 14, and 19:

Eder discloses the forecast financial data and report as shown in the rejections of claims 1 and 12 above. Eder does not specifically disclose the text data in the diagnosis report include text information provided by the industrial database and the difference correspondence table. However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well-known in the accounting arts to prepare reports featuring relevant historical information alongside forecasts and projection for the purposes of comparing and judging current financial conditions.

#### Claim 8:

Eder, as shown, clearly discloses the following limitations:

- an industrial database storing data of finance items for comparison (see at least Figure 1; paragraphs 0026, 0029, 0058, 0068);
- a difference correspondence table storing analysis opinions corresponding to different variations of the finance items (see at least Figures 1, 5a, and 5b, and associated text);
- generating a series of web page tables, whose contents include a plurality of questions and the corresponding input fields;
- computing diagnostic data for finance items according to predetermined formulae and the data entered in the plurality of fields;
- comparing the diagnostic data for finance items with data stored in an industrial database to obtain differences between them;
- obtaining analysis opinions from the differences according to a difference correspondence table; producing a diagnosis report in web page format that includes the analysis opinions at least.

See at least Figures 1, 5a, and 5b and associated text; paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0017, 0026, 0029, 0030, 0053, 0058, 0068.

Art Unit: 3621

Eder does not specifically disclose web-based formatting or content. However, as shown in at least Figures 5a and 5b, Eder does disclose the Internet as an integral part of the system, essentially disclosing online content such as web pages and web site format. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include web-based data input tables and reports formatted in HTML for use in a web page because the Internet provides a

cost-effective and user-friendly environment for disseminating information.

Claim 9:

With regard to the limitation of the system server runs a program to execute the diagnosis

process, it is obvious that a computer executes the programmed procedure.

Claims 11 and 12:

With regard to the limitations of:

 the system server compares the diagnostic data with the corresponding data stored in the industrial database to obtain the digital differences;

 the system server obtains the analysis opinions corresponding to the digital differences according to the difference correspondence table;

See at least Figures 1, 5a, and 5b and associated text; paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0017, 0026, 0029, 0030, 0053, 0058, 0068.

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900.** Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair">http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

#### **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED"

JAA R

or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

JAR

19 January 2004